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## Overview of this lecture

- Organizational
- Your experiences with ES7 cookies, UTF-8
- Contents
- Synonyms
- Vector Space Model (VSM) documents as vectors
- Latent Semantic Indexing (LSI) find synonyms automagically
- Using LSI for retrieval
motivation + examples
three variants + another
- Exercise Sheet 8: re-implement your code from ES2 using the VSM, and re-evaluate benchmark from ES2 using LSI


## Experiences with ES7

■ Summary / excerpts

- "This exercise sheet was annoying"

Sorry ... but a perfect summary of the typical developer experience with encoding issues, in particular UTF-8

- "Very useful ... I will not struggle anymore with encodings"

Thanks, that was exactly the intention of the lecture!

- Quite some bit fiddling needed

Some were not up to the low-level details and defaulted to the built-in functions

## Synonyms 1/4

■ Motivation

- We have already seen fuzzy (prefix) search

Search uniwercity find university

- Today we want to find synonyms = others word meaning the same thing as a given word

Search university find college
Search bringdienst find lieferservice
Search cookie find biscuit
Note: typically no lexical similarity whatsoever, the similarity is only in the meaning

## Synonyms 2/4

■ Solution 1: Maintain a thesaurus

- For each word, manually compile a list of synonyms university: uni, academy, college, ... bringdienst: lieferservice, heimservice, pizzaservice, ... cookie: biscuit, confection, wafer, ...
- Problem 1: laborious, and still notoriously out of date
- Problem 2: it depends on the context, which synonyms are appropriate ... for example:
university award $\neq$ academy award
http cookie $=$ http biscuit


## Synonyms 3/4

■ Solution 2: Track user behavior

- Investigate whole search sessions

Track sessions with, guess what: COOKIES

- For example, many users searching for either of
pizza freiburg
bringdienst freiburg
then click on
Lieferservice Freiburg im Breisgau
This provides a hint that pizza and bringdienst and lieferservice are related


## Synonyms 4/4

- Solution 3: Automatic methods
- The text itself also tells us which words are related
- For example, consider (German) delivery webpages
some mention Bringdienst, others say Lieferservice but apart from that they use the same words a lot, like: pizza, mozzarella, käse, nudeln, vegetarisch, ...

Can we find out (automatically) that two words are related, based on the similar context they appear in ?

This is the topic of today's lecture !

## Vector Space Model 1/8

■ Motivation

- For this lecture, it will be useful to represent documents as vectors ... here is our running example for today:

|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{6}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| internet | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| web | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| surfing | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| beach | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

- Each row corresponds to a word, each column to a document
- Non-zero entries: score for that word in that document In the lecture, we use tf scores ... for ES8, use BM25 scores


## Vector Space Model 2/8

■ Terminology

- Often referred to as the Vector Space Model (VSM)
- In the VSM, words are traditionally referred to as terms
- Putting the vectors from all documents from a given corpus side by side gives us the so-called term-document matrix

|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{6}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| internet | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| web | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| surfing | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| beach | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## Vector Space Model 3/8

- Retrieval
- A query can also be represented as a vector ... we take 1 for a term used in the query, and 0 for all other terms
- We measure the relevance of a document to the query by taking the dot product of the two vectors

Note: this is exactly the same score as in Lecture 2

|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | $\mathbf{Q}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| internet | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| web | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| surfing | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| beach | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |

## Vector Space Model

- Algebra

- More formally, let us write A for the term-document matrix and q for the query vector
- Then the matrix-vector product $\mathrm{q}^{\top}$. A gives us a vector with the relevance scores of all the documents

Let us implement this together now

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| internet | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| web | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| surfing | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| beach | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

## Vector Space Model 5/8

- Basic linear algebra in Python
- For standard linear algebra, we can use numpy
sudo apt-get install python3-numpy
import numpy
$A=$ numpy. $\operatorname{array}([[1,1,0,1,0,0], \ldots])$
$\mathrm{q}=$ numpy.array $([0,1,1,0])$
scores $=q \cdot \operatorname{dot}(A)$
print(scores)
Use numpy.array and dot for multiplication, not *
$q$ is a row vector above $=q^{\top}$ from the previous slide
See the code from the lecture for more example usage


## Vector Space Model 6/8

- Sparse matrices
- Most entries in a term-document matrix are zero

Storing all entries explicitly infeasible for large matrices

- Sparse-matrix representation: store only the non-zero entries (together with their row and column index)

| $(1,0,0),(1,0,1),(1,0,3), \ldots,(2,2,3), \ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ |
| internet | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| web | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| surfing | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\underline{2}$ | 1 | 1 |
| beach | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## Vector Space Model 7/8

## - Sparse matrices

- Two principle ways to store the list of non-zero values
row-major: store row by row (sort by row index first)
column-major: store col by col (sort by col index first)
- Note: the sparse row-major representation of a termdocument matrix is equivalent to an inverted index

| $(1,0,0),(1,0,1),(1,0,3)$ | inverted list for term 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(1,1,0),(1,1,2),(1,1,3)$ | inverted list for term 1 |
| $(1,2,0),(1,2,1),(1,2,1), \ldots$ | inverted list for term 2 |
| $(1,3,3),(1,3,4),(1,3,5)$ | inverted list for term 3 |
| (non-zero score, row index = term id, col index = doc id) |  |

## Vector Space Model 8/8

- Sparse matrices in Python
- Not included in numpy, we have to use scipy
sudo apt-get install python3-scipy
import scipy.sparse
nz_vals $=[1,1,1,1,1,1, \ldots]$ row_inds $=[0,0,0,1,1,1, \ldots]$ col_inds $=[0,1,3,0,2,3, \ldots]$ A = scipy.sparse.csr_matrix((nz_vals, (row_inds, col_inds)))
$\mathrm{q}=$ scipy.sparse.csr_matrix([0, 1, 1, 0])
scores $=$ q.dot(A) print(scores)

See the code from the lecture for more example usage

## Latent Semantic Indexing 1/9

■ Motivation

- Let's look at our example again:
$D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ and $D_{3}$ are "about" surfing the web
$D_{5}$ and $D_{6}$ are "about" surfing on the beach
internet and web are synonyms, surfing is a polysem
$=$ means different things in different context

|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{6}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| internet | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| web | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| surfing | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| beach | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## Latent Semantic Indexing 2/9

- Motivation
- Let's look at the query web surfing again, using dotproduct similarity as explained on slide 10
- Then $\operatorname{sim}\left(D_{3}, Q\right)>\operatorname{sim}\left(D_{2}, Q\right)=\operatorname{sim}\left(D_{5}, Q\right)$

But $D_{2}$ seems just as relevant for the query as $D_{3}$, only that the word "internet" is used instead of "web"

|  | REL REL |  | REL REL |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | Q |
| internet | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| web | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| surfing | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| beach | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |

## Latent Semantic Indexing 3/9

■ Conceptual solution

|  | REL | REL | REL | REL | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | $\mathbf{Q}$ |
| internet | 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| web | 1 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| surfing | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| beach | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Add the missing synonyms to the documents
Then indeed: $\operatorname{sim}\left(D_{1}, Q\right)=\operatorname{sim}\left(D_{2}, Q\right)=\operatorname{sim}\left(D_{3}, Q\right)$
The goal of LSI is to do something like this automatically

## Latent Semantic Indexing 4/9

- A simple but powerful observation

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| internet | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| web | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| surfing | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| beach | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

The modified matrix has column rank 2
That is, we can write each column as a (different) linear combination of the same two base columns ( $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ )

Note 1: the original matrix had column rank 4
Note 2: one can prove that column rank = row rank

## Latent Semantic Indexing 5/9

- Matrix factorization


Equivalently: the $4 \times 6$ term-document matrix can be written as a product of a $4 \times 2$ matrix with a $2 \times 6$ matrix

The base vectors $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are the underlying "concepts"
The vectors $\mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{6}$ are the representation of the documents in the (lower-dimensional) "concept space"

## Latent Semantic Indexing 6/9

## ■ The goal of LSI

- Given an $m \times n$ term-document matrix $A$ and $k<\operatorname{rank}(A)$
- Then find a matrix $A^{\prime}$ of (column) rank $k$ such that the difference between $A^{\prime}$ and $A$ is as small as possible

Formally: $\quad A^{\prime}=\operatorname{argmin}_{A^{\prime}} m \times n$ with rank k $\left\|A-A^{\prime}\right\|$
For the ||... || we take the so-called Frobenius-norm This is defined as $\|D\|:=\operatorname{sqrt}\left(\Sigma_{i j} D_{i j}^{2}\right)$
The reason for using this norm is purely technical: that way, the math on the next slides works out nicely

## Latent Semantic Indexing 7/9

■ How to find / compute such an A'
$\rightarrow$ ח

- We first compute the so-called singular value decomposition (SVD) of the given matrix $A$ :

Theorem: for any $m \times n$ matrix $A$ of rank $r$, there exist $\mathbf{U}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{V}$ such that $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{V}$, and where
$U$ is an $m \times r$ matrix with $U \cdot U^{\top}=I_{m}$ the $m \times m$ identity matrix $S$ is a rxr matrix with entries only on its diagonal

V is an $\mathrm{r} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix with $\mathrm{V}^{\top} \cdot \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad$ the $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ identify matrix
The decomposition is unique up to simultaneous permutation of the rows/columns of $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{S}$, and V

Standard form: diagonal entries of $S$ positive and sorted

## Latent Semantic Indexing 8/9

■ Using the SVD our task becomes easy

- Let $A=U \cdot S \cdot V$ be the SVD of $A$
- For a given $k$ < rank(A) let
$U_{k}=$ the first $k$ columns of $U \quad$ now an $m \times k$ matrix
$S_{k}=$ the upper $k \times k$ part of $S$ now a $k \times k$ matrix
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}=$ the first k rows of V now a $\mathrm{k} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix
Note: then still $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\top}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\top}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}$
Let $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}=\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{k}}{ }^{\mathbf{\top}}$
Then $A_{k}$ is a matrix of rank $k$ that minimizes $\left\|A-A_{k}\right\|$


## Latent Semantic Indexing

■ How to compute the SVD

- Easy to compute from the Eigenvector decomposition

Namely of the quadratic matrices $A \cdot A^{T}$ and $A^{\tilde{T}} \cdot A$

- In practice, the more direct Lanczos method is used

This has complexity $O(k \cdot n n z)$, where $k$ is the rank and $n n z$ is the number of non-zero values in the matrix

Note that for term-document matrices $n n z \ll n \cdot m$
For ES8, just use svds from scipy.sparse.linalg
See the code from the lecture for a usage example

## Using LSI for better Retrieval $1 / 8$

■ Variant 1: work with $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}$ instead of $A$

|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{6}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| internet | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| web | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| surfing | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| beach | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Our example A from the beginning

| $\mathbf{D}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{2} \mathbf{2}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{4}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

best rank-2 approximation $\mathrm{A}_{2}$

## Using LSI for better Retrieval 2/8

■ Variant 1: work with $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}$ instead of $A$

- Problem: $A_{k}$ is a dense matrix, that is, most / all of it's $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{n}$ entries will be non-zero

Typically, both $m$ and $n$ will be very large, and then already storing this matrix is infeasible
E.g. if $m=1000$ and $n=10 M \rightarrow m \cdot n=10 \mathbf{G}$

## Using LSI for better Retrieval 3/8

- Variant 2: work with $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{k}}$ instead of with $A$
- Recall: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}$ gives the representation of the documents in the k-dimensional concept space

|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{6}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| internet | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| web | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| surfing | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| beach | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |


| $\mathbf{D}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{5}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | -0.6 | -0.6 |

Our example A from the beginning
$V_{2}$ from the SVD of A

## Using LSI for better Retrieval 4/8

- Variant 2: work with $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{k}}$ instead of with $A$
- Observation: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is a dense matrix, that is, most or all of its $\mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{n}$ entries are non-zero

Note: the original matrix A has $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{n}$ non-zero entries, where m ' is the average number of words in a document

So storing $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}$ instead of A is ok if $\mathrm{k} \approx \mathrm{m}^{\prime}$ or smaller
Note: no need for a sparse representation / an inverted index when storing / using $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}$

This is the variant you should use for ES8.3

## Using LSI for better Retrieval 5/8

■ Variant 2: work with $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{k}}$ instead of with $A$

- Problem 2: we need to map the query to concept space The dot-product similarity of query $q$ with all documents is $q^{\top} \cdot A_{k}=q^{\top} \cdot\left(U_{k} \cdot S_{k} \cdot V_{k}\right)=\left(q^{\top} \cdot U_{k} \cdot S_{k}\right) \cdot V_{k}$ Then $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\top}:=\mathrm{q}^{\top} \cdot \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is query mapped to concept space
- The dot product $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{\top} \cdot \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}$ requires time $\sim \mathrm{n} \cdot \mathrm{k} \ldots$ since both $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are dense

In comparison: computing the similarities of q with the original documents requires time $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} \cdot \# \mathrm{q})$ and less where $\# q=$ number of query words in $q$

## Using LSI for better Retrieval 6/8

■ Variant 3: expand the original documents

- In Variant 2, we have transformed both the query and the documents to concept space
- LSI can also be viewed as doing document expansion in the original space + no change in the query

Namely, let $T_{k}=U_{k} \cdot U_{k}^{\top} \quad$ this is an $m \times m$ matrix


For ES8, simply compute $T_{k}$ from $U_{k}$ as shown, then compute the 50 term pairs with the largest entries in $T_{k}$

## Using LSI for better Retrieval 7/8

- Variant 3: expand the original documents
- Here is some intuition for $T_{k}$, assuming 0 or 1 entries

In practice, we can get 0-1 entries by setting all entries


## Using LSI for better Retrieval 8/8

■ Linear combination with original scores

- Experience: LSI adds some useful information to the termdocument matrix, but also a lot of noise
- In practice, one therefore uses a linear combination of the original scores and the LSI scores
Variant 1:
scores $=\lambda \cdot q^{\top} \cdot A+(1-\lambda) \cdot q^{\top} \cdot A_{k}$
Variant 2: $\quad$ scores $=\lambda \cdot q^{\top} \cdot A+(1-\lambda) \cdot q_{k}^{\top} \cdot V_{k}$
Variant 3: $\quad$ scores $=\lambda \cdot q^{\top} \cdot A+(1-\lambda) \cdot q^{\top} \cdot T_{k} \cdot A$

For ES9, take Variant 2 and experiment with a good $\lambda$
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